IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.26317 of 2008
RABINDRA PRASAD SINGH @ RABINDRA RAI
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR

2/ 20/8/2008. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

On common allegations on the same day the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner was rejected when co-accused similarly situated was granted anticipatory bail by another Bench. The prayer is renewed on that ground.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

The aspect that the petitioner was a member of a mob several of whom were equipped with firearms, Lathi and pears indulging in indiscriminate assault causing death to one and several injuries to others has not been noticed in the order granting anticipatory bail to the co-accused when the same came to be granted on the only submission that he was simply a member of the mob.

This Court is not persuaded to re-consider the prayer on that ground. The application is **rejected**.

However, the fact remaining that co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail is

an aspect to be appropriately kept in mind while considering the prayer for bail of the petitioner, without being prejudiced by the present order.

KC

(Navin Sinha,J)

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL